

DEDICATED TO THE HIGHEST PRINCIPLES OF UFOLOGICAL JOURNALISM

SAUCER SMEAR



EDITOR AND STILL
SUPREME COMMANDER:
James W. Moseley, J.S.

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS:
Vince Ditchkus, B.A.
Dr. Chris Roth
Dr. Tim Brigham


EMAIL:
saucer_smear@yahoo.com



NON-SCHEDULED
NEWSLETTER
Volume 58, No. 4
April 20th, 2011
(Whole Number 439)

MAILING ADDRESS:
P.O. Box 1709
Key West, Fl. 33041

TELEPHONES:
305-294-2270
305-294-1873



OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE SAUCER & UNEXPLAINED CELESTIAL EVENTS RESEARCH SOCIETY

THIS ISSUE'S LEAD STORY:

GENE STEINBERG, HOST OF THE INTERNET RADIO SHOW CALLED "PARACAST", ATTACKED BY A SEMI-MYSTERIOUS SKIN DISEASE!

COULD EVIL SPACE ALIENS BE BEHIND THIS STRANGE AND UNUSUAL PERSECUTION??
We quote Gene's description (on the Net) of this astounding situation:

"Over the past couple of months, I developed a skin ailment that began as rashes, and expanded to full-blown sores covering various portions of my body. I went to five different doctors, beginning with the family physician, and ending with an allergy specialist. I cannot tell you how many incorrect diagnoses I received for what ended up to be a fairly normal ailment.

"When the first treatments, involving an injection and some skin cream, failed to resolve the problem, a dermatologist took a skin biopsy and culture. This raised the frightening specter of skin cancer, and it took several weeks to get all the test results. During that time, I was also told I was allergic to latex, and suffered from rheumatoid arthritis. The last doctor, another allergy specialist, concluded that it was just a case of severe eczema that could be controlled, and eventually cured, by a combination of an antibiotic ointment, bathing no more than once a day using Epsom salt, and applying skin moisturizers twice a day...

"As I write this, the sores are gone and the skin discolorations are vanishing slowly; it takes a couple of months for them to disappear. But all this grief came at great cost. Between the price of doctor visits and tests, our already fragile financial condition was made much more fragile.

"This is why I return to all of you once again to seek further financial assistance!"

Gene claims that the above Rant achieved the "desired result", as he received more Donations to his Cause, or whatever. He has been pleading poverty for over a year now!

Gene Steinberg can be reached by "snail mail" at: 15,111 N. Hayden Road, #160-144, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260...

**Sell your soul...
for a free goat**

Hairless aliens told they may be denied entry visas for China

Irish Times, 12 June 2010.

ON A MORE SERIOUS NOTE, the current abduction controversy, as outlined in previous issues, grinds on. It's Emma Woods of New Zealand vs. U.S. abduction guru David Jacobs of Temple University, and Budd Hopkins of N.Y.C. vs. his ex-wife Carol Rainey who is attacking him.

One of the other players in this endless Internet game is documentary maker Paul Kimball, who has become a very vocal ufologist in recent years. Till recently he was one of the co-hosts on "The Paracast". Now he is very angry because he believes that Steinberg is not giving fair, equal weight to the pro and anti abduction arguments involving the above-mentioned people. Says Kimball:

"Barring a complete mea culpa by Gene Steinberg, who runs The Paracast and is ultimately responsible for what goes on there, I won't be listening any more. I can guarantee that you won't ever hear me on the show again, and you won't see me post in their forum any more - and I encourage everyone reading this to do the same, from potential guests to potential listeners...Just as the truth abhors a vacuum, it abhors a double standard of the kind that The Paracast practices..."

Whatever the merits of the various arguments may be, this unpleasantness represents a big loss to Steinberg and a much lesser loss to Kimball...

"SLIDERS": Probably few if any of you know what this word means, though it relates to a valid Fortean mystery, somewhat like so many others. "Sliders; The Enigma of Streetlight Interference" is the title of a new book, published by our friends at Anomalous Books, and written by England's Hilary Evans. A short extract is presented in the April 2011 issue of Fortean Times.

Before we explain just what "Sliders" means, we must tell you how totally enthusiastic we are about Fortean Times. The price in the U.S.A. appears to be about \$80 for 12 monthly issues. This sounds a bit steep, but we are talking about a full-color magazine consisting of 80 very large pages crammed with articles by some of the top non-fiction writers in the English language. (We receive this zine on an equal exchange with "Smear", which is quite an honor!)

"Sliders" comes from the words "Streetlight Interference", and perhaps this sounds like some kind of joke - but it isn't. The good news is that people with such experiences seem to still release their real names to the public, whereas UFO sighters generally don't, for fear of ridicule or whatever.

So - what seems to be going on?? Well, many otherwise ordinary people apparently have the ability, as they walk along, to cause nearby street lights to suddenly go out. Sometimes they go back on again when the person has passed. Some people attain the ability to do this at will, but most do not. Our favorite story is that of the fellow who could do it very frequently, but when he tried to show off to a couple of friends, the ability was (deliberately??) taken away from him for awhile!

The individual's state of mind seems to have something to do with this power; being somewhat agitated, for one reason or another, is better than being too serene. The weather may also have something to do with it. Sometimes electrical objects other than street lights are involved.

As far as we know, no detailed scientific study has ever been made. The book by Hilary Evans is apparently the first full-length book on the subject. There is much more detail we could give you, but read the book (which we of course have not done.)

As for Hilary Evans, an unusually loyal "Smear" non-subscriber, we cannot speak highly enough of him. He has written many books on paranormal subjects in the course of his long lifetime. We have met him personally at Fortean Times conventions in London...

THE 1980 CASH-LANDRUM UFO CASE RE-REVISITED;

The following is a "P.S." to our article on this subject on Page 2 of our February 5th, 2011 issue. Those of you who have that issue handy might want to refer back to it now.

Again we tell you that John Schuessler, later to become International Director of MUFON, was the principal investigator of this case, and he spent a great amount of time on it, over a period of years. At that time (1980) he was still a NASA project manager at Johnson Space Center. Thus he was, and remains, a man with great technical skills as well as many useful contacts in the vast U.S. government hierarchy.

Schuessler is now totally retired, except that he remains on the Board of Directors

(3)

of MUFON. We recently wrote him, asking some questions about the Cash-Landrum Case. There is no one more knowledgeable that we could have asked. Schuessler replied as follows:

"I don't really have anything new to say about the Cash-Landrum UFO case, but I will try to satisfy your questions...

"When Peter Gersten filed the lawsuit on their behalf in a Federal District Court in Houston, Texas, the health records were offered to the court. Both sides went through the discovery process. Cash and Landrum allowed every question asked by the government to be answered truthfully. Unfortunately, the government lawyers didn't reciprocate. They refused to answer a lot of questions, and even answered some of them blatantly falsely. Even when challenged they still did not give helpful answers.

"I went to the court docket date hearing with these ladies and their Houston-based lawyer. We took so many records with us to the court room that we had to use luggage dollies. The judge took one look at our entourage and said he didn't want to see anything. The purpose of the meeting was to set a docket date, not to be interested in data. At the end of the hearing the judge said he knew what his answer would be, but would wait a few days to give it out. Then he stood before a local TV station camera a few hours later and made it clear that nothing further would be done. The women were both in tears because they really thought they were going to get an honest hearing.

"With respect to the judge seeing classified information from the government lawyers: If the judge was shown any classified information by the government lawyers, it was not at that hearing. Nothing was shown, except for a call for dismissal. By the way, I have never heard that claim before.

"You asked if I thought the UFO was 'not ours'. Well, going into the case I felt sure it must have been ours, because of all of the helicopter activity. However, after hundreds and hundreds of hours of investigation, there has never been a clue to any human technology found that could account for the craft involved in this case. Perhaps that is why it is so interesting.


"At this point in time, this is truly a 'cold case', and may never be explained."

The reason we of "Smear" are so fascinated with the Cash-Landrum incident is that it is unique in ufological history. If this was really an object from a non-terrestrial source, it is the only one to be so obviously dangerous to humans. The whole thing indeed boggles the mind!

We wonder what the skeptics (such as the late Phil Klass) would say. Obviously we are not dealing here with marsh gas, Venus, mass hysteria, birds, weather balloons, Japanese "Fugo Balloons" or any other such thing. If there is any one case that proves that something very real is going on in the UFO field, this is it!...

In the brave new world of private spaceflight, Robert Bigelow may be the brashest player of all. Having built his fortune on the Budget Suites of America hotel chain, the Las Vegas entrepreneur is shooting for the stars, betting an estimated \$500 million on his company, Bigelow Aerospace. He launched the Genesis space modules in 2006 and 2007 and now plans a fleet of space taxis, space hotels—even a private moon base. In July he and executives from Boeing's Space Exploration division announced plans for a low-cost manned spacecraft called *Crew Space Transportation 100*, capable of carrying cargo and up to seven passengers into orbit by 2015. As NASA winds down its space shuttle program, the outspoken space cowboy has a lot to say about our missions of the future—and the past.

U.S. AIR FORCE AIRCRAFT IDENTIFICATION CHART



"That? No, that isn't a U.F.O. It's on the ground now, and you've identified it. That makes it an I.G.O."

Anthony Bragalia is indeed a strange and interesting researcher. He can be stubborn and tenacious, like a bulldog, when defending his positions. He can stand alone in insisting on a solution to a UFO case, even though no one else takes his idea seriously (as with his insistence that the 1964 Socorro, New Mexico landing was a simple hoax by college students.)

Or, he can be very calmly thoughtful and perceptive. Such is the case with his recent Net essay about Dr. John Mack, the famed UFO abduction guru from Harvard University, who died in a tragic accident in 2004. Indeed, now is the proper time to discuss Dr. Mack, as the other two "first string" abduction researchers have very recently fallen into a significant degree of disrepute. We refer here to David Jacobs and Budd Hopkins.

In a nutshell, we of "Smear" now see more clearly than ever that the complex abduction phenomenon depends as much on the personality, prejudices, and imput of the researcher as it does on the raw data that the abductee presents. Of course this should never be the case, any more than your diagnosis of a physical ailment should depend entirely on which doctor you go to!

Unfortunately we never met or interacted with Dr. Mack, but we did follow his career and belief system with interest. We concur with Bragalia's apparent belief that Mack was a brilliant, well-intentioned man who simply was not psychologically adept at dealing with the somewhat mysterious abduction phenomenon. Mack obviously had a strong Will To Believe regarding this stuff, in optimistic New Age terms. To his credit, Bragalia seems to be "down the middle" in his personal opinion of the phenomenon, as are we.

Bragalia, who at one time lived in Boston, met and interacted with Dr. Mack several times. His blog contains many quotable parts, of which the best are these:

"Mack struck me as someone who was open in spirit and overly trusting. He seemed to want to find the positive in things. He believed that all things had a meaning. Because of this I could easily see how he could be easily fooled by others and indeed by himself.

"I was astonished at Mack's credulity and his lack of completing essential 'home-work'. Dr. Mack could not see that hoaxing - making things up - was something that should always be heavily considered re strange things, including alien abductions. He seemed perplexed that this could happen. I was crestfallen that he had never examined the 'hoaxer mentality' or that he had not done an intense study of the history of UFO hoaxing...

"Incredibly, just a few years later, in 1996, my fear that Mack did not understand the hoaxer dynamic came true! One of Mack's former patients, named Donna Bassett, admitted to a NOVA television documentary team in Boston that she had made up the alien and UFO encounters that she had once told Dr. Mack. She based her story on her understanding of what others had said and written about the abduction phenomenon. Mack had never suspected it. He was entirely 'taken in' by the woman's fabrication....

"Mack seemed to me to be far too academic and cerebral to be engaged in this type of 'work'. He was not someone I felt that had ever spent a lot of time in the 'real world' - and especially to be cognizant of the motivations behind some in the UFO industry. He lived and worked in the bubble of Harvard Square for far too long to understand the larger world.

"Mack displayed a naivete about the intrinsic reliability of humans, what they report, and why. He did not seem to be an 'investigator', who vetted what others told him. He seemed compelled to assign a 'higher meaning' to anyone we talked about that made UFO or alien claims...

"This information is not given as a condemnation of the abduction phenomenon. It is well beyond my scope to offer a determination of the causes, meanings or essential truth about alien abductions. And I do not mean this piece as a 'Mack attack'. I believe that Dr. John Mack was genuine in his pursuit. He was an honest man - but he did not look at the abduction phenomenon honestly. He was a brilliant man and I share with him some of his concepts on consciousness. But I am frankly today conflicted about what I see as his clouded quest for truth..."

Sadly, we believe that Bragalia is basically correct in this analysis....

RE-REHASH OF THE KELLY-HOPKINSVILLE UFO OCCUPANT(S) SIGHTING:

Dr. Kevin Randle (Lt. Col., Ret.) writes endlessly about famous and not so famous UFO cases of the past - in "UFO Magazine", on the Net, in his books, etc. His obsession (as we have noted before) is the Roswell Incident, about which he completely loses his usual objectivity. But no one is perfect.

Randle's most recent re-hash is a 10-page Net rant about the 1965 incident in Hopkinsville, Kentucky, in which a farming family of about ten people spent a night shooting at one or more small humanoids that apparently came toward the farm house repeatedly from a flying saucer that had landed nearby. We won't go into details because "Smear" carried the story again quite recently, though in less detail than Randle.

The most interesting aspect of Dr. Randle's version is his emphasis on the fact that the military took a very low level of interest in this unique, sensational case, merely because it had not been officially reported to them at the time. Obviously, this was just a handy excuse.

We want to make clear to our readers, as we have done before, that the reason we keep reprinting info about these very old cases is simply that, since about 1980, there are few if any sightings of equal merit. We notice that the new slick zine "Open Minds" acknowledges this situation by reprinting many "cold cases", just as we do.

We could easily fill up these eight pages with endless accounts of very recent lights in the sky, etc., but we refuse to take that route. As for why this situation exists re the quality of current sightings, we simply do not know. We wish we did, because somewhere here is a clue to the pattern (if any) that we are dealing with. Maybe the UFO Intelligence - whatever it may be - goes in unexplained phases as it apparently teases the human race!...

UFOLOGY AT ITS WORST:

In the fringe field of ufology we are (unfortunately) accustomed to cranks, weirdos, liars, people with obsessive behavior, etc. At least these people are doing and saying things that are basically within the law.

However, we know of two gentlemen (?) originally from Long Island, N.Y., who have sunk below our non-existent standards of behavior. The first of these is Bill Knell (the "K" is silent), who, in the 1980s and thereabouts, ran a UFO research group called "Island Skywatch".

Knell & family are no longer on Long Island. They were driven out years ago by many factors, including a multi-million dollar lawsuit filed against them by their arch-rival in that area, named John Ford. More about Ford further on.

Before Knell got into the UFO racket, his name appeared in New York City newspapers for having sold almost a thousand illegal guns. He may have been convicted for this, but for some reason he never went to prison.

Knell's "forte", however, was and continues to be illegal sales of various forms of UFO-related recordings, currently mainly DVDs. He violates copyrights with mad abandon. When he has his web sites closed down on him, he starts new ones. It seems that no one person has lost a great amount of money because of his alleged illegal activities, so few bother to complain to the authorities. This whole subject has been brought up again very recently by Frank Warren on the Net and Dennis Balhaser writing in "UFO Magazine". They include details that we don't have space for.

When Bill Knell fled Long Island years ago, his first stop was somewhere in northern Florida. As we recall, he was mad at us for stuff we had printed about him in "Smear", so he sent by mail a couple of Death Threats! Since he was relatively close to us, in Florida, and since he may well be some sort of gun nut, we took these threats seriously at the time.

Knell has moved several times over the years. During one period while living in Arizona, he was charged with running an illegal farm for the sale of purebred puppies. This enterprise was closed down by the powers-that-be, or whoever.

Even more rancid than Knell is his former arch-rival, John Ford of the Long Island UFO Network. Ford was at that time an employee of the county court system, and that was how he was easily able to file the above-mentioned frivolous lawsuit against Knell, which Knell obviously took seriously.

Whereas Knell's activities have been relatively harmless, Ford's became deadly. In his zine and elsewhere, Ford pushed the stories of three highly unlikely UFO landings, right

in his home territory of Long Island - very conveniently! One of these gave rise to the most ludicrous UFO video we have ever seen, but that's another story.

Ford became obsessed with the idea that two or more of his county officials were plotting to keep these landing stories from the public. One of these men was the local District Attorney. Incredibly, Ford conspired with another saucer nut to poison the D.A.'s toothpaste with radioactive material, and thus kill him. We know this sounds like we are making it up, but we swear to you that we are not!

In 1994 John Ford was charged with attempted murder and thrown in jail, but he was never put on trial. He had an inept lawyer who had him declared mentally unfit to stand trial. Thus he received an indefinite sentence in a state mental ward, and we are almost certain that he is still there after all this time! Occasionally he writes letters (even to "Smear") to people whom he thinks can or will help him get out. Naturally he claims he was framed.

Imagine if you were an innocent UFO enthusiast in New York in the 1980s. You would have had only two choices - to join Knell's group or to join Ford's group!

There is a moral here somewhere, if we could only find it! (Our sincere thanks to Antonio Huneeus of "Open Minds" Magazine for helping us to remember some of this material. He lived in New York City and knew both Knell and Ford pretty well in the old days.)....

In recent years Nick Redfern of Texas (born in England) grinds out more books and papers on off-beat topics than anyone else, and over-all he does a very good job of it.

Naturally, the notorious Roswell Incident has not escaped his notice. A fairly recent book (which we have not read) called "Body Snatchers on the Desert", indeed has a catchy title, but promotes an unlikely theory, as Redfern himself admits. It's something about aerial experimentation with live Japanese prisoners of war.

In a current Net posting called "Roswell; Deflating Mogul", Nick comes up with new information that is more likely to shed light on this classic mystery. He tells of an incident in Illinois just a few weeks after Roswell (he gives no exact date), that has interesting similarities to that event.

Both incidents involved mysterious objects found on ranch land, and both were initially suspected of being debris from a flying saucer. In both cases the Mogul explanation was seriously considered, but other than that, the two events were handled in entirely different ways by the military, i.e., with far less paranoia in the little-known Illinois case than at Roswell. Nick Redfern's conclusion is that Mogul was not really the answer either time.

We are reminded of a little-known Roswell "solution" promoted by the late John Keel. He insisted that the Roswell mystery was caused by the crash of a Japanese "Fugo Balloon" from World War II. There were indeed some similarities, but Keel refused to deal with the fact that the war ended more than two years earlier! Did this Balloon somehow hover in New Mexico's atmosphere for all that time before finally coming down??....

More from NICK REDFERN, on a different topic:

"The sheer wealth of data, reports, eye-witness accounts and testimony leaves me in absolutely no doubt whatsoever that a very real UFO phenomenon of unknown origin and intent exists. Yet, it is a phenomenon that puzzles us, confounds us, and even takes us on strange rides into the heart of twilight realms filled with nothing less than outright absurdities. They are absurdities that lead me to believe rather than having definitively extraterrestrial origins, the enigmatic intelligence behind the UFO phenomenon displays far more than a few characteristics of Trickster-like entities!"



The above is quoted from Redfern's recent blog "Absurdities of the UFO Kind". He chooses a couple of UFO events that make clear, more so than most others, that the "Trickster" is at work.

First, there is the "star map" that the aliens (?) showed to Betty & Barney Hill during their famed 1961 abduction. The creatures refused to let Betty keep the map, but she remembered details later under hypnosis. A researcher named Margorie Fish (we may have this name a bit wrong) went to unbelievable trouble to prove just which stars are shown on the map; and best of all, our friend physicist/scientist Stanton Friedman swears by the map.

(7)

But think about it! Would intergalactic voyagers really use anything as crude as a primitive diagram on a piece of paper? The concept is absurd, even if it really happened just as Betty says it did. Yes, this was indeed "purely theatrical". Till now we never had the nerve to say it, but now we will; Betty Hill's "star map" is a croch of shit. Thanks, Nick, for giving us the courage to spit this out.

Redfern also mentions the unique UFO landing allegedly witnessed by Wisconsin farmer Joe Simonton, also in the year 1961. Supposedly aliens with an "Italian appearance" (whatever that may be) landed on his property in a classic flying saucer - style craft. They were cooking pancakes on their alien grill aboard the craft, and they generously gave three of these to Simonton.

The pancakes were eventually submitted to The Authorities for analysis. We have always heard that they turned out, surprisingly, to contain the same ingredients as earthly pancakes. However, Redfern introduces a further weirdness: Though otherwise normal, the pancakes were totally lacking in salt. We actually phoned Nick to try to make sure he didn't make this detail up, but he convinced us that he did not.

You see, the fairies in European folklore in centuries past could not abide salt. Here I think the connection is rather weak.

However, your editor is seriously a fan of The Trickster, who is often mentioned in psychological literature, etc. But who or what is he? One researcher explains it thusly:

"The main role of The Trickster is to supply anti-structure and novelty within the culture or sub-culture, and to topple the status quo. And in doing precisely that, it allows the culture to move forward, and create room for growth, instead of being rigidly stuck in one particular control-system."

It's totally unproven, but definitely food for serious thought!...

Anthony Bragalia again:

Ignoring the warning "permission to reproduce any material from this article is needed", we will proceed to discuss Bragalia's latest effort, called "Secret U.S. Intelligence Agency Holds UFO Answers". Maybe if we say only nice things Anthony won't prosecute.

The reference is to the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO), which was not officially admitted to exist till about 1996. The article goes on to say: "Before this acknowledgment, its employees could be imprisoned or even put to death under the Espionage Act if they were to reveal its name or even its mere existence. Since 1961 the NRO has quietly employed tens of thousands of people and it has spent hundreds of billions of dollars."


Bragalia then goes on to successfully convince us that the NRO's surveillance program is so complete and so technically advanced that it can see and photograph anything at all that enters or leaves the earth's atmosphere - including UFOs, of course.

The only thing we disagree with is the statement that anyone could be put to death by revealing NRO's name or even its mere existence. Obviously this death penalty was never actually carried out in real life, but stating the theoretical possibility adds drama to the narrative.

Interestingly, Bragalia himself could have suffered such a fate (or so he says), because as an enthusiastic pre-teenager he sent a UFO-oriented letter to President Gerald Ford, and in it he mentioned the sacred name of the NRO. For this reason the letter actually received serious attention from the government, including a reply from an Air Force colonel that included a warning of possible prosecution. This story is very odd, but we believe it.

There is much more worthwhile info in this Bragalia rant, but we have to keep this article short, in order to have space to report on any possible UFO crash that occurs between now and the time this issue of "Smear" goes to the printer.

As for Anthony Bragalia, we say to him in all sincerity: You are getting better!... See next page for more by him....



**Woman hit
landlord with
crocodile
doorstop**



US INTELLIGENCE ASSET,
DR. GERALD HAINES

In a separate rant, Bragalia points out UFO personality Dr. Gerald Haines as involved in "the one and only time the NRO spoke of UFOs". In 1997 Haines released an article discussing the CIA's supposedly limited interest in UFOs, with no mention at all of the NRO, which he was supposedly associated with at that time.

Unless we have confused him with someone else, Dr. Haines is a harmless sort of guy whose main interest in UFOs is his concern that they do exist as a menace to normal civilian aviation.

Look at those kindly eyes in the photograph. Would this man ever intentionally deceive anyone?

Haines is noted for his over-emphasis on the percentage of UFOs that are actually classified U.S. experimental planes and such. We sincerely hope that the Cash - Landrum radiation-spouting craft was not one of these experimental planes!

The other news here is that Bragalia is teaming up with noted ufologist Larry Bryant in regard to his future Freedom of Information requests. There is no doubt that Bryant, whom we have known vaguely for years, is an expert in harrassing the government for information. How much useful information he has ever received this way is not clear...

MISSIVES FROM THE MASSES

The above-mentioned LARRY BRYANT writes as follows:

"As you and I agreed on the phone, here's my proposed text for your retraction:

"Our attributing to Larry Bryant the content of an anti-MUFON statement on Page 2 of the March 10, 2011 'Smear' is erroneous, and we hereby retract it. His recent research into the statement's origin has determined it to be a comment posted by UFO witness James Gilliland - on the latter's Web-based message board at <http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/ec-eti/>. According to Bryant, Gilliland, on March 21, deleted the statement from the site (where visitors now see the notice 'There are no messages in topic 5824')..."

Researcher ELAINE DOUGLASS writes as follows:

"...I am writing you now for a twofold purpose. Purpose 1 is to set the record straight that Larry Bryant did not write the offending item referenced in 'Saucer Smear', and neither did I. Purpose 2 is to renew my subscription to 'Saucer Smear', check enclosed. It's been too long, Jim, too long!..."

Researcher JERRY LUCCI writes:

"I've been busy formulating new years resolutions that I believe should be adopted by all diligent ufoologists:

"(1) Roswell needs to be buried once and for all. The truth is way past discovery, and the sad fact is that forty years from now, new witnesses will still be coming forward.

"(2) All documents of unknown origin, including but not limited to MJ-12, need to be burned and shredded. They have proven and continue to prove nothing.

"(3) All future whistle blowers should be rejected out of hand unless they have hard evidence to support their claims. Don't hold your breath!

"Some cases that are worthy of further investigation include Cash-Landrum, the Hudson Valley sightings, and the Rendelsham Forest case. There you have it.

"By the way, how long do you think it will take for the MUFON Titanic to finally sink in its ocean of deceit?"

TIM PRINTY of "SUNlite", a skeptical zine, writes:

"I am very concerned about UFO lawyer Peter Gersten. As much as I disagree with his opinions, I certainly don't want him to jump off that mountain. Maybe some friends will step in and have him get some help. One can only hope..."

We are pretty sure that someone will step in and save him, just in time. Egads! - Editor.